

Supplementary Materials for Bayesian Inference for the Causal Effect of Mediation

M. J. Daniels ^{*}, J. Roy [†], C. Kim [‡], J.W. Hogan [§] and M.G. Perri [¶]

Step 3. of sampling algorithm: Sampling $(F_{M_1,1}, F_{M_1,0}, F_{M_0,1}, F_{M_0,0}, \pi_{1,M_1}, \pi_{0,M_0})$

1. Generate L sets (M_0, M_1) . This requires sampling from $f_{M_z, M_{z'}}(m_z, m_{z'}) = f_{M_z}(m_z|m_{z'})f_{M_{z'}}(m_{z'})$.

Note that in the TOURS trial, M_z is actually discrete (taking integer values 0 to 350), so we compute $f_{M_z}(m_z)$ as follows,

$$f_{M_z}(m_z) = F_{M_z}(m_z + 0.5) - F_{M_z}(m_z - 0.5); z = 0, 1$$

where $F_{M_z} = F_{M_z,1} \times \pi_{1,M_1} + F_{M_z,0} \times (1 - \pi_{1,M_1})$.

We sample $M_{z'}$ using $F_{M_{z'}}(M_{z'}) \sim Unif(0, 1)$. Then, given $M_{z'}$, we obtain M_z using the conditional CDF

$$\begin{aligned} F_{M_z}(m_z|m_{z'}) &= \sum_{t=0}^{m_z} f_{M_z}(t|m_{z'}) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{m_z} f_{M_z, M_{z'}}(t, m_{z'})}{f_{M_{z'}}(m_{z'})} \\ &= \frac{F_{M_z, M_{z'}}(m_z + 0.5, m_{z'} + 0.5) - F_{M_z, M_{z'}}(m_z + 0.5, m_{z'} - 0.5)}{F_{M_{z'}}(m_{z'} + 0.5) - F_{M_{z'}}(m_{z'} - 0.5)} \end{aligned}$$

^{*}Department of Statistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

[†]Department of Biostatistics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

[‡]Department of Statistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

[§]Department of Biostatistics, Brown University, Providence, RI

[¶]Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

using the fact $F_{M_z}(M_z|m_{z'}) \sim Unif(0, 1)$.

The densities $f_{M_z, M_{z'}}(m_z, m_{z'})$ can be computed in the same manner with Assumption 4.

2. Compute $f_{1,M_0}(y)$ via Monte Carlo integration using the L sets (M_0, M_1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,M_0}(y) &= \int f_{1,M_0}(y|m_0, m_1) f_{M_0, M_1}(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1 \\ &\propto \int \exp\{\text{sgn}(d) \log \chi y I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\} f_{1,M_1}(y|m_0, m_1) f_{M_0, M_1}(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1 (\text{A } 2) \\ &= \int \exp\{\text{sgn}(d) \log \chi y I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\} f_{1,M_1}(y|m_0) f_{M_0, M_1}(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1 (\text{A } 3), \end{aligned}$$

where 'A' corresponds to 'Assumption'.

To compute $f_{1,M_1}(y|m_0)$,

$$f_{1,M_1}(y|m_0) = \frac{\pi_{1,M_1}^y (1 - \pi_{1,M_1})^{1-y} f_{M_1, Y_1}(M_1 = m_0 | Y_{1,M_1} = y)}{f_{M_1}(M_1 = m_0)}$$

where

$$f_{M_1, Y_1}(M_1 = m_0 | Y_{1,M_1} = y) = F_{M_1,y}(m_0 + 0.5) - F_{M_1,y}(m_0 - 0.5)$$

and

$$f_{M_1}(M_1 = m_0) = f_{M_1, Y_1}(M_1 = m_0 | Y_{1,M_1} = 1) \times \pi_{1,M_1} + f_{M_1, Y_1}(M_1 = m_0 | Y_{1,M_1} = 0) \times (1 - \pi_{1,M_1}).$$

3. Compute the direct and indirect effects using $\pi_{1,M_0} - \pi_{0,M_0}$ and $\pi_{1,M_1} - \pi_{1,M_0}$, where $\pi_{1,M_0} = f_{1,M_0}(1)$.

Implementation of Dirichlet process priors for the TOURS data in WinBUGS (Step 2 of the sampling algorithm in Section 3)

We use the following construction of the Dirichlet process parameters for implementation in WinBUGS,

$$\gamma_i \sim \text{Beta}(1, K_z), \quad \pi_i = \gamma_i \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (1 - \gamma_l),$$

$$\theta_i \sim W_z \times \text{Beta}_{[0,350]}(\alpha_{1z}, \beta_{1z}) + (1 - W_z) \times \text{Beta}_{[0,350]}(\alpha_{2z}, \beta_{2z}),$$

$$G_z = \sum_{i=1}^M \pi_i \delta_{\theta_i} \text{ and } f_{M_z, y}(m_z | Y_{z, M_z} = y) \sim G_z$$

where the precision parameter K_z has a uniform prior, $\text{DiscUnif}[1, 20]$.

Since the mediator takes values in [0,350], we specify

$$\lambda_i = Q(\theta_i) \text{ and } G_z = \sum_{i=1}^M \pi_i \delta_{\lambda_i}$$

where function $Q : (0, 350) \rightarrow (-0.5, 350 + 0.5)$.

We then specify

$$f_{M_z, y}(m_z | Y_{z, M_z} = y) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_S),$$

where $S \sim \text{categorical}(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_K)$.

Comparison of posterior variances with and without Assumption 5

a) Without Assumption 5

First note that

$$E(Y_{1, M_1} Y_{1, M_0}) = \int p(Y_{11} = 1, Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1) f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1,$$

where $p(Y_{11} = 1, Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1) = p(Y_{10} = 1 | Y_{11} = 1, m_0, m_1)p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)$.

Now, assume $p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1) > 0$ and a non-negative correlation between Y_{11} and Y_{10} given m_0 and m_1 . Then the correlation between Y_{11} and Y_{10} conditional on (m_1, m_0) , θ , is

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \frac{E(Y_{10} Y_{11} | m_0, m_1) - E(Y_{10} | m_0, m_1)E(Y_{11} | m_0, m_1)}{\sqrt{p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1)(1 - p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1))}\sqrt{p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)(1 - p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1))}} \\ &= \frac{p(Y_{10} = 1, Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1) - p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1)p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)}{\sqrt{p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1)(1 - p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1))}\sqrt{p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)(1 - p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1))}} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$p(Y_{10} = 1, Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1) = p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1)p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1) + \theta \times s.d.(Y_{10})s.d.(Y_{11})$$

where

$$s.d.(Y_{10}) = \sqrt{p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1)(1 - p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1))}$$

$$\text{and } s.d.(Y_{11}) = \sqrt{p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)(1 - p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1))}.$$

This can be re-expressed as

$$p(Y_{10} = 1, Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1) = \exp\{sgn(d) \log \chi I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\}p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)^2 + \theta \times s.d.(Y_{10})s.d.(Y_{11})$$

Using these results, the variance of the NIE without assumption 5 is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(NIE) &= E(Y_{1,M_1}^2) - 2E(Y_{1,M_1}Y_{1,M_0}) + E(Y_{1,M_0}^2) - \{E(Y_{1,M_1}) - E(Y_{1,M_0})\}^2 \\ &= E(Y_{1,M_1}^2) + E(Y_{1,M_0}^2) - \{E(Y_{1,M_1}) - E(Y_{1,M_0})\}^2 - C1. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } C1 &= 2 \int \exp\{sgn(d) \log \chi I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\}p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)^2 f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1 \\ &\quad + 2 \int \theta \times s.d.(Y_{10})s.d.(Y_{11})f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1. \end{aligned}$$

b) Under Assumption 5

$$E(Y_{1,M_1}Y_{1,M_0}) = \int p(Y_{11} = 1, Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1)f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y_{11} = 1, Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1) &= p(Y_{10} = 1 | m_0, m_1)p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1) \\ &= \exp\{sgn(d) \log \chi I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\}p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

since $\theta = 0$.

Using this result, the variance of the NIE with assumption 5 is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(NIE_w) &= E(Y_{1,M_1}^2) - 2E(Y_{1,M_1}Y_{1,M_0}) + E(Y_{1,M_0}^2) - \{E(Y_{1,M_1}) - E(Y_{1,M_0})\}^2 \\ &= E(Y_{1,M_1}^2) + E(Y_{1,M_0}^2) - \{E(Y_{1,M_1}) - E(Y_{1,M_0})\}^2 - C2.\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

where $C2 = 2 \int \exp\{sgn(d) \log \chi I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\} p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)^2 f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1$

c) Comparison of Var(NIE) with and without Assumption 5

Comparing (2) and (1), the difference is C1 - C2,

$$\begin{aligned}C1 &= 2 \int \exp\{sgn(d) \log \chi I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\} p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)^2 f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1 \\ &\quad + 2\theta \int s.d.(Y_{10}) s.d.(Y_{11}) f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1 \\ &\geq 2 \int \exp\{sgn(d) \log \chi I(|d| \geq \epsilon)\} p(Y_{11} = 1 | m_0, m_1)^2 f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1 \\ &= C2\end{aligned}$$

which is non-negative. Thus, variance of NIE without Assumption 5 has a smaller variance;

$$Var(NIE) < Var(NIE_w)$$

The difference in the variances, A, is given as

$$A = 2 \int \theta \times s.d.(Y_{10}) s.d.(Y_{11}) f(m_0, m_1) dm_0 dm_1$$

where θ is bounded as below (since binary responses),

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= \frac{E(Y_{10}Y_{11}|m_0, m_1) - E(Y_{10}|m_0, m_1)E(Y_{11}|m_0, m_1)}{s.d.(Y_{10})s.d.(Y_{11})} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{E(Y_{10}^2|m_0, m_1)E(Y_{11}^2|m_0, m_1)} - E(Y_{10}|m_0, m_1)E(Y_{11}|m_0, m_1)}{s.d.(Y_{10})s.d.(Y_{11})} \quad \text{Cauchy-Schwarz inequality} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{p(Y_{10}=1|m_0, m_1)p(Y_{11}=1|m_0, m_1)} - p(Y_{10}=1|m_0, m_1)p(Y_{11}=1|m_0, m_1)}{s.d.(Y_{10})s.d.(Y_{11})} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\exp\{sgn(d)\log\chi I(|d|\geq\epsilon)\}}p(Y_{11}=1|m_0, m_1) - \exp\{sgn(d)\log\chi I(|d|\geq\epsilon)\}p(Y_{11}=1|m_0, m_1)^2}{s.d.(Y_{10})s.d.(Y_{11})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the difference in the variances, A is bounded by

$$A \leq 2 \int \sqrt{\exp\{sgn(d)\log\chi I(|d|\geq\epsilon)\}}p(Y_{11}=1|m_0, m_1)f(m_0, m_1)dm_0dm_1 - C$$

where $C = 2 \int \exp\{sgn(d)\log\chi I(|d|\geq\epsilon)\}p(Y_{11}=1|m_0, m_1)^2f(m_0, m_1)dm_0dm_1$.

