

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Bacterial community composition and diversity in an ancestral ant fungus symbiosis

Katrin Kellner<sup>1,\*</sup>, Heather D. Ishak<sup>1,2</sup>, Timothy A. Linksvayer<sup>3</sup> and Ulrich G. Mueller<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Integrative Biology, Patterson Laboratories, University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station #C0930, Austin, TX 78712, USA, <sup>2</sup>Division of Infectious Disease and Geographic Medicine, Stanford University, 300 Pasteur Dr. MC 5107, Stanford, CA 94305, USA and <sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, 433 S. University Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

\*Corresponding author: Department of Biology, University of Texas at Tyler, 3900 University Blvd, Tyler, TX 75799, USA. Tel (903) 565-5931; Fax (903) 566-7189; E-mail: [antkatrina@gmail.com](mailto:antkatrina@gmail.com)

<sup>†</sup>Present address: Department of Biology, University of Texas at Tyler, 3900 University Blvd, Tyler, TX 75799, USA.

One sentence summary: Bacterial diversity associated with the basal fungus-farming ant *Mycocepurus smithii* colonies found along the Panama Canal.

Editor: Wietse de Boer

## ABSTRACT

Fungus-farming ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae, Attini) exhibit some of the most complex microbial symbioses because both macroscopic partners (ants and fungus) are associated with a rich community of microorganisms. The ant and fungal microbiomes are thought to serve important beneficial nutritional and defensive roles in these symbioses. While most recent research has investigated the bacterial communities in the higher attines (e.g. the leaf-cutter ant genera *Atta* and *Acromyrmex*), which are often associated with antibiotic-producing Actinobacteria, very little is known about the microbial communities in basal lineages, labeled as 'lower attines', which retain the ancestral traits of smaller and more simple societies. In this study, we used 16S amplicon pyrosequencing to characterize bacterial communities of the lower attine ant *Mycocepurus smithii* among seven sampling sites in central Panama. We discovered that ant and fungus garden-associated microbiota were distinct from surrounding soil, but unlike the situation in the derived fungus-gardening ants, which show distinct ant and fungal microbiomes, microbial community structure of the ants and their fungi were similar. Another surprising finding was that the abundance of actinomycete bacteria was low and instead, these symbioses were characterized by an abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Pantoea* bacteria. Furthermore, our data indicate that *Lactobacillus* strains are acquired from the environment rather than acquired vertically.

**Keywords:** Host-microbe interaction; microbiota; coevolution; mutualism; *Lactobacillus*; Attines

## INTRODUCTION

Insects are the most abundant and diverse taxon on earth, likely due to their ability to thrive in a wide range of ecological niches. The successful invasion of new habitats and feeding niches, accompanied by subsequent radiations, has been repeatedly facilitated through symbiosis with microorganisms (Douglas 2009,

2015). Symbiotic bacteria allow specialization on a broad range of diets (Douglas 2009; Feldhaar 2011; McFall-Ngai et al. 2013). Bacterial endosymbionts can help in digestion and detoxification of food, provide essential nutrients (Cardoza, Klepzig and Raffa 2006; Adams et al. 2009; Engel and Moran 2013; Douglas 2014), contribute to nest hygiene (Currie et al. 1999, 2003; Kaltenpoth et al. 2005; Feldhaar et al. 2007; Feldhaar and Gross

Received: 5 March 2015; Accepted: 19 June 2015

© FEMS 2015. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com)

2008), and may play major roles in defense against pathogens and parasites (Oliver et al. 2003; Hedges et al. 2008; Brownlie and Johnson 2009; Kaltenpoth 2009; Mattoso, Moreira and Samuels 2012).

Microbiota of social insects (ants, termites, some bees and some wasps) are of special interest because group living can increase the risk of disease spread, and social insects are thought to have evolved different techniques and associations with mutualistic bacteria to help with disease control (Koch and Schmid-Hempel 2011; Konrad et al. 2012; Martinson, Moy and Moran 2012; Tragust et al. 2013a,b; Brüttsch and Chapuisat 2014). Fungus-farming ants (tribe Attini) have become model systems for studying microbial mutualisms, partly due to their importance as dominant herbivores and agricultural pests (Hölldobler and Wilson 2011). Fungus-farming ants have to defend themselves and their brood against diseases, but also their obligate fungus garden, the primary food source the ants feed on and which the ants protect from infections, parasitism and usurpation by competing organisms. There has been extensive research on higher attine ants (*Atta*, *Acromyrmex*, *Trachymyrmex* and *Sericomyrmex*) to study the coevolution between mutualistic ants, their fungi and associated bacterial communities (Sen et al. 2009; Scott et al. 2010; Ishak et al. 2011a; Aylward et al. 2012, 2014). The best-studied bacterial symbionts in the higher attine ants are Actinobacteria (actinomycetes), e.g. the genus *Pseudonocardia*, which is known to produce antibiotics against a diversity of bacterial and fungal microbes (Oh et al. 2009; Sen et al. 2009; Mueller et al. 2010). Recent studies have discovered several other bacterial symbionts, which might be involved in defense against parasites and diseases, among other functions, e.g. *Streptomyces* (Haeder et al. 2009; Barke et al. 2010; Seipke et al. 2011; Seipke, Kaltenpoth and Hutchings 2012), *Amycolatopsis* (Sen et al. 2009) and *Burkholderia* (Santos et al. 2004). Additional bacterial symbionts have been found which might play auxiliary roles in the ant-cultivated gardens, like lignocellulose-degrading and nitrogen-fixing bacteria, e.g. *Pantoea*, *Klebsiella*, *Escherichia*, *Enterobacter*, *Citrobacter* (Mueller et al. 2005; Pinto-Tomás et al. 2009; Scott et al. 2010; Aylward et al. 2012).

Fungiculture in attine ants originated once approximately 50 million years ago (Schultz and Brady 2008) when the ancestral attine likely started feeding on nutrients produced by leaf-litter-decomposing leucocoprinaceous fungi (Agaricaceae, formerly Lepiotaceae) that the ants encountered in their nest environments and whose growth the ants began to sustain by supplying such fungus with dead plant material (Mueller et al. 2001; De Fine Licht et al. 2010). A major transition from collecting dead plant material to cutting fresh leaves as substrate for fungal cultivation occurred 8–12 million years ago in the Attini (Schultz and Brady 2008). Extant leaf-cutter ants (*Atta* and *Acromyrmex*) cultivate a few closely related species of fungus (*Leucocoprinus* sp.), while lower attine ants retained the more ancestral fungal associations with a great diversity of leucocoprinaceous fungal species. Fungal strains cultivated by lower attine ants are still closely related or identical to free-living leucocoprinaceous species, suggesting that some lower attine ants may occasionally adopt fungal symbionts from free-living populations (Mueller, Rehner and Schultz 1998; Vo, Mueller and Mikheyev 2009) and/or exchange symbionts through horizontal transmission (Green, Mueller and Adams 2002; Mueller et al. 2011; Kellner et al. 2013).

*Mycocrepurus smithii* is an unusual attine ant since it is the only known asexually reproducing fungus-farming ant (Himler et al. 2009; Rabeling et al. 2009), such that each colony is comprised of a single ant clone tending a garden with a single fungus clone

(Kellner et al. 2013). In our study population in central Panama, we found a total of 11 ant clones and 9 fungus clone lineages in 52 colonies. Phylogenetic analyses suggested that ant colonies regularly exchange fungal cultivars or domesticate novel fungi from free-living populations into symbiosis (Kellner et al. 2013).

Here we use 16S rRNA sequencing to characterize the bacterial communities associated with ants and fungus gardens of the lower attine ant *M. smithii*. We compare the ant- and fungus-associated microbiota to bacterial communities from the surrounding soil, and explore the hypothesis that bacterial communities are influenced by sample location rather than by either ant or fungal genotype. This work provides the first quantitative, culture-independent analyses of alpha- and beta-diversity of bacterial communities of this basal attine ant, and the first study to use soil samples from the ants' immediate nest environments as a non-symbiotic reference for comparison. We compare the bacterial communities of *M. smithii* to those known from more derived higher attines and from environmental sources, and conclude that many of the ant-associated bacteria are recruited into the symbiosis from external sources and do not appear to be maintained through predominant vertical transmission between ant generations.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

### Sample collection

#### Field colonies

Samples were collected during the excavation of whole *M. smithii* colonies in central Panama in April 2010, as described in Kellner et al. (2013). Single ants and fungus garden pieces (ca. 8 mm<sup>3</sup>) were collected with flame-sterilized forceps and stored in 100% ethanol. Two different types of soil samples were taken for each ant colony: one sample was from the ground surface (ca. 2 m away from the colony entrance), in the following called 'outside soil'. The other soil sample ('nest wall soil') was taken by scraping soil from the walls of the fungus garden chambers. The samples analyzed and used in this study were 35 samples in total, collected from 16 different colonies: 4 outside soil samples, 14 chamber wall soil samples, 10 fungus garden samples and 7 worker ant samples. Due to soil contaminations of some fungus gardens and ant samples, several samples had to be excluded, and amplification of DNA failed, leading to an unbalanced sample number (Table S1, Supporting Information). Collection locations and genotypes of fungi and ants are described in Kellner et al. (2013).

#### Garden fragments

Because bacterial communities have been shown to vary spatially in leaf-cutter ants (Suen et al. 2010) we examined the spatial organization of a single *M. smithii* garden, which typically have a much different shape (long thin, hanging strands or curtains) than higher Attine gardens (oval-shaped; Seal and Tschinkel 2008). Accordingly, we took samples from a fungus garden from colony RS100413-02 that was located approximately 30–40 cm below the surface (the chamber was approximately 10 cm high). This garden was chosen because it appeared healthy and above-average size. The garden was subdivided into nine parts with flame-sterilized forceps, and a sample from each part (about 8 mm<sup>3</sup>) was preserved separately in 100% ethanol.

### DNA extraction and 454 sequencing

Ethanol-preserved samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In January 2011, DNA extraction and sequencing was performed

at the MRBI Biofilm Institute in Lubbock, Texas, using bacterial tag-encoded FLX 454-pyrosequencing (bTEFAP) of 16S amplicons from bacteria following previously described standard protocols (Ishak et al. 2011b). 16S amplicons were amplified with primers Gray28F5'GAGTTTGATCNTGGCTCAG and Gray519R 5'GTNTTACGGGCKGCTG, spanning the V1–V3 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene. For ant samples, DNA was extracted from the entire insect; therefore, bacterial communities represent both intestinal and surface bacteria. Although we realize that integumental bacteria are likely distinct from those found in the intestine, the small body size of these ants (abdominal length <0.4 mm) and the field location prevented us from dissecting out guts under sterile conditions. Using B2C2 (Gontcharova et al. 2010), short reads (<300 bp) and chimeras were depleted. Sequences were assigned to bacterial types via BLAST at a minimum identity of 75% using a reference database curated by MRBI, and compared to hits obtained from SILVA database (Quast et al. 2013). Using taxonomic identities at a 97% sequence shared identity, we used simple resampling scripts to obtain species accumulation (rarefaction) curves for each sample (Fig. S1, Supporting Information). Sequence data is deposited in the GenBank/NCBI short read archive under accession number SRA055805.

## Data analyses

### OTU-based analyses

The total number of sequence reads generated from 35 samples was 248 358. To standardize the sequence reads between samples (ranging from 1257 sequences in one worker sample to 16 699 sequences in one soil sample, Table S1, Supporting Information), we randomly subsampled 2000 sequences from each sample or the total number of sequence reads available if less than 2000 were present, resulting in a total of 85 900 sequence reads from 35 samples. Using the software Cd-hit (Li and Godzik 2006; Schmidt, Matias Rodrigues and von Mering 2014), the sequences were clustered into unique OTUs (operational taxonomic units) at a 97% shared identity level, yielding a total of 7708 OTUs. OTUs with less than four sequences were excluded to parse out rare OTUs which could be due to contamination, reducing the number of OTUs to 860. These OTUs served as initial response abundance matrix in PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford 2006). The response abundance matrix was transformed into a presence/absence matrix. To investigate relationships of the fungus gardens, workers, chamber wall soil and outside soil to each other, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) as ordination method, choosing Jaccard distances as appropriate distance measurement. The same analyses were run on an OTU abundance matrix based on Bray–Curtis distances. An indicator species analysis was performed to determine the most influential OTUs based on their relative abundance and frequency within the four defined groups (Dufrene and Legendre 1997). We used a multiresponse permutation procedure (MRPP, as implemented in PC-ORD) to test for differences between the sample types (outside soil, nest wall soil, fungus gardens, ants), and between samples from different sampling locations in central Panama (Gamboa/Apartment 183, Achioté, Casa Verde, Gatun, Gamboa/Greenhouse and Gamboa/Resort). MRPP was also used to test for differences between bacterial communities grouped by ant and fungus genotypes (ant and fungus lineages were previously genotyped in Kellner et al. 2013). If symbiont recruitment (horizontal transmission) is the main driver of bacterial community composition, we expect a strong effect of sampling location. If bacterial symbionts are inherited and passed on from mother to daughter colonies (vertical trans-

mission), we rather expect a strong influence of ant and fungus genotypes on the bacterial community composition. Inclusion of soil samples in the analyses addresses the possibility that specific bacterial lineages are contaminants derived from soil sources rather than an ant-engineered symbiont community.

### Sequence-based analyses

The Cd-hit output containing 7708 clusters was further processed in the mothur pipeline (Schloss et al. 2009) to generate an alignment using the SILVA database (Quast et al. 2013) as template. The alignment was then filtered (soft filter = 5%), and poorly aligned sequences were removed (screen.seqs, >250 bp). The final alignment contained 7521 unique sequences. An approximate maximum-likelihood tree was constructed using FastTree (Price, Dehal and Arkin 2010), which served as input for Fast UniFrac (Hamady, Lozupone and Knight 2009). Pairwise unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances were investigated by NMDS ordination in PAST (Hammer, Harper and Ryan 2001). Using vegan (Oksanen et al. 2015) in R 2.13.0, we ran MRPP using unweighted UniFrac distances to test for significant differences among the four habitats (outside soil, nest wall soils, ants and fungus gardens; *P* values were obtained with 1000 permutations). Because we were investigating community compositions and phylogenetic relationships between very different habitats (soils, fungus gardens, worker ants), we expected qualitative differences rather than abundance differences in bacterial community composition, and we therefore chose unweighted UniFrac distances and Jaccard distances based on presence/absence matrices as appropriate distance measure (for a quantitative analysis based on OTU abundances using Bray–Curtis distances and weighted UniFrac distances, see Supplementary material).

## Spatial variation in the bacterial community of a fungus garden

The total amount of sequence reads after initial quality screen was 45 884 summed over nine subsamples from one garden, with 2898 sequences the lowest and 7248 sequences the highest count. The raw sequences of the nine garden fragments were processed as described above. Briefly, from each sample, 3000 sequences were drawn randomly (except 2898 sequences were used for the smallest sample) and clustered using Cd-hit into 2432 unique OTUs (97% shared identity threshold). Singletons were removed, leaving 552 OTUs. These OTUs were used as abundance response matrix in PC-ORD. All OTUs which were counted less than four times were removed, leaving 256 OTUs in the abundance response matrix. Cluster Analyses (UPGMA) was performed using Bray–Curtis distances and weighted (normalized) UniFrac distances (obtained through FastUniFrac as described above). Because OTU composition and phylogenetic relationships of very similar communities (fragments from one garden) were investigated, quantitative rather than qualitative differences were expected. Therefore, weighted (normalized) UniFrac distances and Bray–Curtis distances (based on an abundance matrix) were chosen as appropriate distance measures. Cluster analyses grouped single samples based on similarities to each other without knowledge of predefined group memberships.

## RESULTS

### Bacterial taxonomy and distribution

A total of 304 152 bacterial 16S sequence reads were collected and classified (total number of sequences for soil: 34 235;

**Table 1.** Core microbiota of *M. smithii* worker ants, fungus gardens, nest wall soil and outside soil shown as the percentage of bacterial read abundance. Only genera found in more than 1% abundance are shown. (For a complete list of bacterial genera, see Table S2, Supporting Information.)

Sample type	Bacteria genera	%	Sample type	Bacteria genera	%
Garden	<i>Lactobacillus</i>	19.3231	Worker ants	<i>Lactobacillus</i>	56.8517
	<i>Pantoea</i>	15.9296		<i>Lysobacter</i>	3.8097
	<i>Pseudonocardia</i>	4.8448		<i>Pseudonocardia</i>	3.1987
	<i>Stenotrophomonas</i>	4.5561		<i>Ruminococcus</i>	3.0402
	<i>Erwinia</i>	4.4588		<i>Xanthomonas</i>	2.6329
	<i>Escherichia</i>	4.0075		<i>Staphylococcus</i>	2.3537
	<i>Amycolatopsis</i>	3.4163		<i>Brevibacterium</i>	2.1274
	<i>Serratia</i>	3.0668		<i>Escherichia</i>	1.6521
	<i>Enterobacter</i>	2.6747		<i>Enterococcus</i>	1.5880
	<i>Microlunatus</i>	2.6549		<i>Bacteroides</i>	1.4975
	<i>Ruminococcus</i>	2.0030		<i>Aminobacter</i>	1.4711
	<i>Cronobacter</i>	1.7796		<i>Stenotrophomonas</i>	1.4183
	<i>Pectobacterium</i>	1.7082		<i>Rhodoferrax</i>	1.0826
	<i>Pseudomonas</i>	1.3556		<i>Microbacterium</i>	1.0411
	<i>Derxia</i>	1.1139		<i>Total unknown</i>	4.6471
		<i>Clostridium</i>		1.0699	
	<i>Lysobacter</i>	1.0684			
	<i>Total unknown</i>	4.9801			
Sample type	Bacteria genera	%	Sample type	Bacteria genera	%
Outside soil	<i>Patulibacter</i>	10.1942	Nest wall soil	<i>Nitrosovibrio</i>	6.7023
	<i>Acidobacterium</i>	8.0852		<i>Acidobacterium</i>	5.3395
	<i>Nitrosovibrio</i>	6.8438		<i>Pseudonocardia</i>	4.0251
	<i>Solirubrobacter</i>	3.0407		<i>Streptomyces</i>	3.8248
	<i>Rhodoplanes</i>	2.8976		<i>Mycobacterium</i>	3.2674
	<i>Caldilinea</i>	2.5792		<i>Patulibacter</i>	2.4752
	<i>Brevibacillus</i>	2.2725		<i>Caldilinea</i>	2.1246
	<i>Ruminococcus</i>	2.0272		<i>Rhizobium</i>	2.1131
	<i>Stenotrophomonas</i>	1.9308		<i>Nitrospira</i>	2.0992
	<i>Rhizobium</i>	1.9308		<i>Nocardioides</i>	1.8299
	<i>Bacillus</i>	1.8402		<i>Solirubrobacter</i>	1.5926
	<i>Clostridium</i>	1.6241		<i>Derxia</i>	1.4843
	<i>Paenibacillus</i>	1.5598		<i>Bradyrhizobium</i>	1.4753
	<i>Holophaga</i>	1.4430		<i>Rhodomicrobium</i>	1.3217
	<i>Anaeromyxobacter</i>	1.3699		<i>Rhodoplanes</i>	1.2979
	<i>Nitrospira</i>	1.2414		<i>Holophaga</i>	1.2979
	<i>Actinocatenispora</i>	1.0282		<i>Kribbella</i>	1.0763
<i>Total unknown</i>	29.7647	<i>Staphylococcus</i>	1.0484		
			<i>Total unknown</i>	18.8261	

chamber walls: 121 810; worker: 26 511, garden: 65 802, garden fragments: 55 794). Outside and chamber wall soils contained the highest proportions of unclassified sequences (soil: 29.76%, chamber wall soil: 18.83%), whereas gardens and ant workers had the lowest proportions (gardens: 4.98%, worker ants: 4.65%).

The most common bacteria orders found were Lactobacillales (ants: 56%; gardens: 26%), Enterobacteriales (ants: 6%; gardens: 30%), Actinomycetales (ants: 10%; gardens: 15%), Xanthomonadales (ants: 7%; gardens: 6%), Clostridiales (ants: 8%; gardens: 6%), Rhizobiales (ants: 2%; garden: 5%) and Burkholderiales (ants: 3%; gardens: 2%). Some of these orders were also represented at significant proportions in the two soil types, e.g. Rhizobiales (nest walls: 11%; soil: 16%), Xanthomonadales (nest walls: 2%; soil: 2%), Actinomycetales (nest walls: 24%; not found in soil). The two soil types contained their own core microbiota, e.g. Nitrosomonadales (nest walls: 9%; soil: 12%), Acidobacteriales (nest walls: 7%; soils: 12%) and Solirubrobacteriales (nest walls: 5%; soils: 16%), which were not found in ants or garden

samples (complete taxonomical listing in Table S1, Supporting Information).

Rarefaction analyses (at 97% identity threshold) indicated that most of the samples were adequately sampled (Fig. S1, Supporting Information). Across all samples, nest wall soil contained the highest number of bacteria genera (534 bacteria genera), followed by fungus gardens (419), outside soil (281) and ant workers (123). Table 1 contains the most common bacteria genera (the core microbiota) found in the four sample types.

### Comparison of ants and fungus gardens vs their surroundings

Soil types (outside soil and nest walls) had a significantly higher average richness of OTUs (Kruskal–Wallis test:  $H(3, N = 35) = 18.35, P = 0.004$ ) and Shannon's diversity (Kruskal–Wallis test:  $H(3, N = 35) = 19.52, P = 0.002$ ) than fungus gardens and workers. On average, soil samples (202.7 OTUs) contained four times the number of OTUs of workers (52.1 OTUs) and gardens

**Table 2.** MRPP test of significance of pairwise comparisons of bacterial communities associated with *M. smithii* worker ants, fungus gardens, nest wall soil and outside soil. Upper matrix: A values, lower matrix P values. Significant P values are denoted in bold ( $\alpha$  level 0.05, sequential Bonferroni correction).

(a) OTU-based Jaccard distances, (b) Sequence-based UniFrac distances;				
	Outside soil	Nest wall soil	Fungus gardens	Ants
<b>a)</b>				
Outside soil		−0.001	0.360	0.397
Nest wall soil	0.448		0.459	0.456
Fungus gardens	<b>&lt;0.00001</b>	<b>&lt;0.00001</b>		0.030
Ants	<b>&lt;0.00001</b>	<b>&lt;0.00001</b>	0.200	
<b>b)</b>				
Outside soil		0.003	0.067	0.121
Nest wall soils	0.239		0.069	0.103
Fungus gardens	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>		0.009
Ants	<b>&lt;0.01</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.099	

(57.7 OTUs). The bacterial communities associated with ants and fungus gardens were clearly distinct from outside soils and nest walls. Overall differences between the four groups were statistically significant (Jaccard distances, MRPP,  $A = 0.486$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ), post-hoc analysis revealed significant differences between soil and garden, and between soil and ants, but no differences between nest walls and outside soil, and no differences between ants and gardens. UniFrac analyses yielded a similar result (unweighted UniFrac analysis, MRPP,  $A = 0.092$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; see Table 2 for details). Ordination of samples based on UniFrac and Jaccard distances supports these results (Fig. 1a and b) with outside soil and nest wall soil clustering together while separated from a second cluster containing fungus gardens and worker ants. Analyses with Bray–Curtis distances based on OTU abundances and weighted UniFrac distances revealed a similar pattern (Fig. S2 and Table S3, Supporting Information).

Indicator species analyses of OTU composition revealed a total of 206 significant indicator values for the soil samples, 23 for chamber walls, 10 for fungus gardens and 21 for ants. According to indicator species analyses (Dufrene and Legendre 1997), these are the OTUs that contribute most to the separation of the test groups. A total of 15 of the 21 significant ant-associated indicator species were different *Lactobacillus* OTUs. Among the 10 significant fungus gardens OTUs, one *Lactobacillus* OTU was found, together with OTUs of *Pantoea*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Erwinia* and *Serratia* (Table S4, Supporting Information).

OTUs of ants, fungus gardens, and the two soil types are determined by collection location (MRPP, six locations,  $A = 0.271$ ,  $P = 0.0002$ ) because significant differences in bacterial community composition were found between samples from Gamboa/Apartment 183 and several other locations (Table S5, Supporting Information). When soil types were excluded, fungus garden and ant samples differed significantly between two of the three compared locations. The genetic background of ant and fungus gardens (genotypes analyzed in Kellner et al. 2013) did not significantly influence the OTU/bacterial community that they harbored (MRPP, comparing four ant genotypes,  $A = -0.022$ ,  $P = 0.567$ ; comparing four fungus genotypes,  $A = 0.061$ ,  $P = 0.190$ ) (Details in Table S5, Supporting Information).

## Garden fragments

Fungus gardens of the ant *M. smithii* are not homogeneous in bacterial community composition. Species richness of fragments ranged from 62.0 to 168.0, and diversity (Shannon's index) ranged from 3.11 to 4.16, with a tendency of fungus fragments surrounded by others having a lower richness and diversity than garden pieces on the edge, facing the nest walls ('Side 3' piece had both lowest richness and diversity, while 'Edge 1' had the highest values). Cluster analyses of garden fragments based on OTU abundances and UniFrac distances resulted both in five clusters (grouping the fragments into five clusters yielded the highest number of significant indicator OTUs for each group) (see Fig. 2). As expected, differences in microbial communities of garden fragments were more quantitative than qualitative, meaning differences between fragments were based on the abundances of OTUs rather than presence or absence of OTUs. Accordingly, diversity indices were not significantly different among the five groups (Kruskal–Wallis, richness:  $H(4, N = 9) = 3.47$ ,  $P = 0.48$ , Shannon's diversity:  $H(4, N = 9) = 7.47$ ,  $P = 0.11$ ). The most common bacteria genera in all five groups of fragments found were *Staphylococcus*, *Bacteriodes*, *Pseudomonas*, *Clostridium*, *Ruminococcus*, *Propionibacterium*, *Pantoea* and *Lactobacillus* (genera abundances in Table S6, Supporting Information).

## DISCUSSION

Our analysis of bacterial communities of *M. smithii* colonies from the field shows that colonies of ants and fungus gardens are distinct from their surroundings, and that the microbiome of ants and fungus gardens is mostly dominated by the genera *Lactobacillus* and *Pantoea*. Another discovery was the lack of significant differentiation between ant- and garden-associated bacterial communities, which contrasts with the findings of similar analyses on the higher derived attine *Trachymyrmex septentrionalis* (Ishak et al. 2011a), where ant- and garden-associated bacteria formed distinct communities. These results suggest that *M. smithii* ants and symbiotic fungi may exchange bacteria when the ants move through their gardens or ingest garden material with the result that the bacterial communities are homogenized to a greater extent than in the higher attine *T. septentrionalis*. *Mycocetpus smithii* bacterial communities were also clearly separated from the surrounding nest walls and adjunct soil from outside the nests; thus, the bacteria found on ants and gardens are not the result of accidental contamination from environmental sources such as the surrounding soil. This suggests that the ants are able to shape their own microbial environment, and most likely control the microbial communities on their cuticle and on the gardens by grooming off unwanted harmful bacteria (Fernández-Marín et al. 2009).

The finding that sample location rather than genotype has an influence on the bacterial communities of colonies indicates that horizontal transmission of bacterial symbionts might play a more important role than vertical transmission. No evidence of correlation between bacteria strains and ant or fungus genetic lineages was found, although vertical transmission of fungal strains among ant generations undoubtedly occurs (Kellner et al. 2013), and bacteria might also be cotransmitted. The bacterial communities of *M. smithii* were rather diverse in some genera but depauperate in others. Many genera such as *Pantoea*, *Klebsiella*, *Stenotrophomonas* and *Serratia* have also been found in fungus gardens of leaf-cutter ants (*Atta* and *Acromyrmex*; Scott et al. 2010; Suen et al. 2010; Aylward et al. 2012). In particular,




Figure 1. NMDS representation of bacterial communities of *M. smithii* fungus gardens, worker ants, nest wall soils and outside soils. Distances are based on dissimilarity matrices of (a) OTU-based Jaccard distances and (b) sequence-based unweighted UniFrac distances.




Figure 2. Dendrogram of bacterial communities of fungus garden fragments (UPGMA: unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) using weighted UniFrac (above) and OTU-based Bray-Curtis distances (below). The scale bar represents percentage of information. Clustering the fragments into five groups at 60% information (indicated with the horizontal dashed line) yielded the highest number of significant indicator values (27 significant indicators,  $P < 0.05$ , in five or less clusters). The drawing depicts the relative positions of fungus garden fragments (depicted by polygons) as they were collected in the field.

*Pantoea* and *Klebsiella* are thought to serve as symbiotic nitrogen-fixing agents in gardens of *Atta cephalotes* (Pinto-Tomás et al. 2009), and they might play a similar role in gardens of *M. smithii*. One of the hallmark features of the attine symbiosis that appears to be relatively rare in the *M. smithii* symbiosis are mutualistic Actinobacteria (actinomycetes), which are thought to serve important defensive roles [*Pseudonocardia*: (Currie et al. 1999; Oh et al. 2009); *Streptomyces*: (Haeder et al. 2009; Barke et al. 2010; Seipke et al. 2011)]. Actinobacteria are well known from other insect systems, including wasps (Madden et al. 2013), bee wolves (Kaltenpoth et al. 2010; Kroiss et al. 2010) and bark beetles (*Dendroctonus frontalis*—*Streptomyces*; Cardoza, Klepzig and Raffa 2006; Scott et al. 2008). The Actinobacteria *Pseudonocardia* and *Streptomyces*, and the Proteobacterium *Burkholderia*, were found only in small quantities in *M. smithii* ants and fungus gardens, which suggests that these bacteria likely play less important roles than in the well-studied *Trachymyrmex* and *Acromyrmex* ants, which are known to use antibiotics produced by these bacteria (Santos et al. 2004; Seipke et al. 2011). Because DNA was extracted from whole ants, we were not able to determine from which parts of the ant body the bacterial OTUs reside and screening of separate ant integument and ant intestines might reveal spatial partitioning of the microbiomes.

Different strains of *Pseudonocardia* were discovered in a previous study on *M. smithii* (Sen et al. 2009), but samples in that study were taken from colonies maintained in the laboratory for several years, unlike the data in the present study which were taken from freshly collected field colonies. This might also explain the discrepancies between the current dataset and that from Sen et al. (2009) who reported high *Pseudonocardia* but low *Lactobacillus* abundance which is the opposite of what we report here. Nevertheless, we raise the possibility that *M. smithii* along with *Atta* and *Sericomyrmex* represent ant lineages that may be less reliant on *Pseudonocardia* bacteria as a form of biological control (Hughes et al. 2008; Fernández-Marín et al. 2009).

The strong presence of different strains from the genus *Lactobacillus* might be explained by *M. smithii* collecting vertebrate or insect feces as substrate for fungus cultivation, which many attine species are known to collect (Leal and Oliveira 2000; Seal and Tschinkel 2007, 2008). The *Lactobacillus* strains found in *M. smithii* were identified as well-known strains from human and bird intestinal tracts (e.g. *Lactobacillus gallinarum*) rather than ant or attine specific (McFrederick et al. 2013). *Lactobacillus* generally have anti-microbial properties, which are well-known commensals of humans, insects and other animals, and are important for the food industry and the fermentation of dairy products (Hammes and Vogel 1995). *Lactobacillus* exerts their antimicrobial properties by secreting lactic acid to acidify their environment—conditions that few other bacteria (and fungi) can tolerate. The appearance of *Lactobacillus* is well studied in wild bees (McFrederick et al. 2012, 2013, 2014; McFrederick, Mueller and James 2014) and honey bees (Jeyaprasath, Hoy and Allsopp 2003; Vásquez et al. 2012) where it plays a key role in honey production (Olofsson and Vásquez 2008) and beebread (Vásquez and Olofsson 2009). For example, *Apis mellifera* colonies treated with *L. johnsonii* increased honey production while lowering *Varroa* and *Nosema* infection, and induced swarming (Audisio, Sabate and Benitez-Ahrendts 2015). *Lactobacillus* bacteria are also thought to be major candidates inhibiting the bacteria *Paenibacillus larvae*, which is the cause of American foulbrood disease infecting honeybee colonies (Forsgren et al. 2010). Sweat bees also associate with *Lactobacillus*, but unlike honeybees do not have a bee-specific *Lactobacillus* clade, but acquire acidophilic

symbionts from their environment rather than through vertical transmission between honeybee generations (McFrederick et al. 2012, 2013). The same is probably true for *M. smithii*, because *Lactobacillus* strains associated with *M. smithii* do not form a host-specific clade (McFrederick et al. 2013). Likewise, *Lactobacilli* might serve an important role as defensive agents in *M. smithii*, as it has been shown in fungus-growing termites (Long et al. 2010; Mathew et al. 2012), where they suppress the growth of the parasitic *Trichoderma* fungus and thus protect the termites' fungus combs (*Termitomyces*). As a result, it is possible that the usage of insect feces and other sources of *Lactobacilli* not only serve as substrate or fertilizer for the fungus gardens, but the application of *Lactobacilli* might represent a defensive strategy alternative to actinomycetes employed by most attines (Fernández-Marín et al. 2009).

Our analysis of fragments of a single fungus garden shows that microbial communities within *M. smithii* gardens are not homogeneous. Gardens of *M. smithii* have a hanging structure, with curtain-like strands hanging from the ceiling of the nest cave, which is unlike the relatively solid globule-shaped gardens typical of most leaf-cutting ants and other higher derived attines (Seal and Tschinkel 2008). Within a single strand, the fungus grows from top to bottom (the ants elongate the strand as it grows). Because *M. smithii* in the field and in the lab start new gardens in the center of a chamber ceiling rather than near the ceiling periphery, it is likely that each garden has an age profile from the inside to the outside (central older parts, peripheral younger parts). The nine garden fragments analyzed clustered into five likely groups, with the central (presumably older) parts forming one of these groups. The non-homogenous nature of *Mycocrepurus* gardens parallels the nature of derived leaf-cutter ant gardens, which also are partitioned into younger (top), mature (center) and older portions (bottom) (Suen et al. 2010). Other factors likely to influence garden spatial microbial communities are the locations where the ants add new substrate (the outside), and where they place their brood (toward the inside, and towards the top of gardens). *Pantoea* was found in significant amounts only in two fragments facing the outside of the garden suggesting that this garden region might have been where the ants recently added garden substrate. Similarly, while not very common (relative abundances <5% in all nine garden fragments), *Lactobacillus* was found in the highest amounts near the edges. The importance of the different bacteria genera and their roles in digestion and nutrient processing, nitrogen fixation, larval nutrition and disease defense remains unknown, and gives opportunity to further investigations.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data is available at FEMSEC online.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente de Panamá, the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, and Orelis Arosemena and Annette Aiello for collecting and export permits. We thank Jon N Seal, Quinn McFrederick and three anonymous reviewers whose comments and criticism improved the manuscript. Ruchira Sen helped with field collections. DNA extraction and 454-sequencing was performed by Scot Dowd at the MRBI Biofilm Institute in Lubbock, Texas.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation [DEB-0919519] to TAL and UGM, and by the W.M. Wheeler Lost Pines Endowment.

**Conflict of interest.** None declared.

## REFERENCES

- Adams AS, Currie CR, Cardoza Y, et al. Effects of symbiotic bacteria and tree chemistry on the growth and reproduction of bark beetle fungal symbionts. *Can J For Res* 2009;**39**: 1133–47.
- Audisio MC, Sabate DC, Benitez-Ahrendts MR. Effect of *Lactobacillus johnsonii* CRL1647 on different parameters of honeybee colonies and bacterial populations of the bee gut. *Benef Microbes* 2015;**1**:1–10, DOI: 10.3920/bm2014.0155:1-10.
- Aylward FO, Burnum KE, Scott JJ, et al. Metagenomic and metaproteomic insights into bacterial communities in leaf-cutter ant fungus gardens. *ISME J* 2012;**6**:1688–701.
- Aylward FO, Suen G, Biedermann PHW, et al. Convergent bacterial microbiotas in the fungal agricultural systems of insects. *mBio* 2014;**5**:e02077–14.
- Barke J, Seipke R, Gruschow S, et al. A mixed community of actinomycetes produce multiple antibiotics for the fungus farming ant *Acromyrmex octospinosus*. *BMC Biol* 2010;**8**: 109.
- Brownlie JC, Johnson KN. Symbiont-mediated protection in insect hosts. *Trends Microbiol* 2009;**17**:348–54.
- Brütsch T, Chapuisat M. Wood ants protect their brood with tree resin. *Anim Behav* 2014;**93**:157–61.
- Cardoza YJ, Klepzig KD, Raffa KF. Bacteria in oral secretions of an endophytic insect inhibit antagonistic fungi. *Ecol Entomol* 2006;**31**:636–45.
- Currie C, Scott J, Summerbell R, et al. Fungus-growing ants use antibiotic-producing bacteria to control garden parasites. *Nature* 1999;**398**:701–5.
- Currie CR, Wong B, Stuart AE, et al. Ancient tripartite coevolution in the attine ant-microbe symbiosis. *Science* 2003;**299**: 386–8.
- De Fine Licht HH, Schiøtt M, Mueller UG, et al. Evolutionary transitions in enzyme activity of ant fungus gardens. *Evolution* 2010;**64**:2055–69.
- Douglas AE. The microbial dimension of insect nutritional ecology. *Funct Ecol* 2009;**23**:38–47.
- Douglas AE. The molecular basis of bacterial-insect symbiosis. *J Mol Biol* 2014;**426**:3830–7.
- Douglas AE. Multiorganismal insects: diversity and function of resident microorganisms. *Annu Rev Entomol* 2015;**60**: 17–34.
- Dufrene M, Legendre P. Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecol Monogr* 1997;**67**:345–66.
- Engel P, Moran NA. The gut microbiota of insects - diversity in structure and function. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2013;**37**:699–735.
- Feldhaar H. Bacterial symbionts as mediators of ecologically important traits of insect hosts. *Ecol Entomol* 2011;**36**: 533–43.
- Feldhaar H, Gross R. Immune reactions of insects on bacterial pathogens and mutualists. *Microbes Infect* 2008;**10**:1082–8.
- Feldhaar H, Straka J, Krischke M, et al. Nutritional upgrading for omnivorous carpenter ants by the endosymbiont *Blochmannia*. *BMC Biol* 2007;**5**:48.
- Fernández-Marín H, Zimmermann JK, Nash DR, et al. Reduced biological control and enhanced chemical pest management in the evolution of fungus farming ants. *P R Soc B* 2009;**276**:2263–9.
- Forsgren E, Olofsson TC, Vásquez A, et al. Novel lactic acid bacteria inhibiting *Paenibacillus* larvae in honey bee larvae. *Apidologie* 2010;**41**:99–108.
- Gontcharova V, Youn E, Wolcott RD, et al. Black box chimera check (B2C2): a windows-based software for batch depletion of chimeras from bacterial 16S rRNA gene datasets. *Open Microbiol J* 2010;**4**:47–52.
- Green AM, Mueller UG, Adams RMM. Extensive exchange of fungal cultivars between sympatric species of fungus-growing ants. *Mol Ecol* 2002;**11**:191–5.
- Haeder S, Wirth R, Herz H, et al. Candicidin-producing *Streptomyces* support leaf-cutting ants to protect their fungus garden against the pathogenic fungus *Escovopsis*. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009;**106**:4742–6.
- Hamady M, Lozupone C, Knight R. Fast UniFrac: facilitating high-throughput phylogenetic analyses of microbial communities including analysis of pyrosequencing and PhyloChip data. *ISME J* 2009;**4**:17–27.
- Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD. PAST: Palaeontological Statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol Electron* 2001;**4**:9.
- Hammes WP, Vogel RF. The genus *Lactobacillus*. In: Wood BJB, Holzapfel WH (eds). *The Genera of Lactic Acid Bacteria*. London: Chapman & Hall, 1995, 19–54.
- Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, et al. *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. *Science* 2008;**322**:702.
- Himler AG, Caldera EJ, Baer B, et al. No sex in fungus-farming ants or their crops. *P Roy Soc B* 2009;**276**: 2611–6.
- Hölldobler B, Wilson EO. *The Leafcutter Ants*. New York, London: WW Norton, 2011.
- Hughes WOH, Pagliarini R, Madsen HB, et al. Antimicrobial defense shows an abrupt evolutionary transition in the fungus-growing ants. *Evolution* 2008;**62**:1252–7.
- Ishak HD, Miller JL, Sen R, et al. Microbiomes of ant castes implicate new microbial roles in the fungus-growing ant *Trachymyrmex septentrionalis*. *Sci Rep* 2011a; **1**:204.
- Ishak HD, Plowes R, Sen R, et al. Bacterial diversity in *Solenopsis invicta* and *Solenopsis geminata* ant colonies characterized by 16S amplicon 454 pyrosequencing. *Microbiol Ecol* 2011b;**61**:821–31.
- Jeyaprakash A, Hoy MA, Allsopp MH. Bacterial diversity in worker adults of *Apis mellifera capensis* and *Apis mellifera scutellata* (Insecta: Hymenoptera) assessed using 16S rRNA sequences. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2003;**84**:96–103.
- Kaltenpoth M. Actinobacteria as mutualists: general healthcare for insects? *Trends Microbiol* 2009;**17**: 529–35.
- Kaltenpoth M, Göttler W, Herzner G, et al. Symbiotic bacteria protect wasp larvae from fungal infestation. *Curr Biol* 2005;**15**:475–9.
- Kaltenpoth M, Schmitt T, Polidori C, et al. Symbiotic *Streptomyces* in antennal glands of the South American digger wasp genus *Trachypus* (Hymenoptera, Crabronidae). *Physiol Entomol* 2010;**35**:196–200.
- Kellner K, Fernandez-Marín H, Ishak HD, et al. Co-evolutionary patterns and diversification of ant-fungus associations in the asexual fungus-farming ant *Mycocepurus smithii* in Panama. *J Evol Biol* 2013;**26**:1353–62.

- Koch H, Schmid-Hempel P. Socially transmitted gut microbiota protect bumble bees against an intestinal parasite. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011;**108**:19288–92.
- Konrad M, Vyleta ML, Theis FJ, et al. Social transfer of pathogenic fungus promotes active immunisation in ant colonies. *PLoS Biol* 2012;**10**:e1001300.
- Kroiss J, Kaltenpoth M, Schneider B, et al. Symbiotic Streptomyces provide antibiotic combination prophylaxis for wasp offspring. *Nat Chem Biol* 2010;**6**:261–3.
- Leal IR, Oliveira PS. Foraging ecology of attine ants in a Neotropical savanna: seasonal use of fungal substrate in the cerrado vegetation of Brazil. *Insect Soc* 2000;**47**:376–82.
- Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 2006;**22**:1658–9.
- Long YH, Xie L, Liu N, et al. Comparison of gut-associated and nest-associated microbial communities of a fungus-growing termite (*Odontotermes yunnanensis*). *Insect Sci* 2010;**17**:265–76.
- McCune B, Mefford MJ. PC-ORD. *Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data*. Version 5.15. Gleneden Beach, Oregon, USA: MjM Software, 2006.
- McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, et al. Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013;**110**:3229–36.
- McFrederick QS, Cannone JJ, Gutell RR, et al. Specificity between Lactobacilli and Hymenopteran hosts is the exception rather than the rule. *Appl Environ Microb* 2013;**79**:1803–12.
- McFrederick QS, Mueller UG, James RR. Interactions between fungi and bacteria influence microbial community structure in the *Megachile rotundata* larval gut. *P R Soc B* 2014;**281**:20132653.
- McFrederick QS, Wcislo WT, Hout M, et al. Host species and developmental stage, but not host social-structure, affects bacterial community structure in socially polymorphic bees. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2014;**2**:398–406.
- McFrederick QS, Wcislo WT, Taylor DR, et al. Environment or kin: whence do bees obtain acidophilic bacteria? *Mol Ecol* 2012;**21**:1754–68.
- Madden AA, Grassetti A, Soriano JA, et al. Actinomycetes with antimicrobial activity isolated from paper wasp (Hymenoptera: Vespidae: Polistinae) nests. *Environ Entomol* 2013;**42**:703–10.
- Martinson VG, Moy J, Moran NA. Establishment of characteristic gut bacteria during development of the honeybee worker. *Appl Environ Microb* 2012;**78**:2830–40.
- Mathew GM, Ju YM, Lai CY, et al. Microbial community analysis in the termite gut and fungus comb of *Odontotermes formosanus*: the implication of *Bacillus* as mutualists. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2012;**79**:504–17.
- Mattoso TC, Moreira DDO, Samuels RI. Symbiotic bacteria on the cuticle of the leaf-cutting ant *Acromyrmex subterraneus subterraneus* protect workers from attack by entomopathogenic fungi. *Biol Lett* 2012;**8**:461–4.
- Mueller UG, Gerardo NM, Aanen DK, et al. The evolution of agriculture in insects. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol S* 2005;**36**:563–95.
- Mueller UG, Mikheyev AS, Solomon SE, et al. Frontier mutualism: coevolutionary patterns at the northern range limit of the leaf-cutter ant–fungus symbiosis. *P R Soc B* 2011;**278**:3050–9.
- Mueller UG, Rehner SA, Schultz TR. The evolution of agriculture in ants. *Science* 1998;**281**:2034–8.
- Mueller UG, Schultz TR, Currie CR, et al. The origin of the attine ant–fungus mutualism. *Q Rev Biol* 2001;**76**:169–97.
- Mueller UG, Scott JJ, Ishak HD, et al. Monoculture of leafcutter ant gardens. *PLoS One* 2010;**5**:e12668.
- Oh D, Poulsen M, Currie C, et al. Dentigerumycin: a bacterial mediator of an ant–fungus symbiosis. *Nat Chem Biol* 2009;**5**:391–3.
- Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, et al. *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package version 2.2-1, 2015, <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>.
- Oliver KM, Russell JA, Moran NA, et al. Facultative bacterial symbionts in aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003;**100**:1803–7.
- Olofsson TC, Vásquez A. Detection and identification of a novel lactic acid bacterial flora within the honey stomach of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Curr Microbiol* 2008;**57**:356–63.
- Pinto-Tomás AA, Anderson MA, Suen G, et al. Symbiotic nitrogen fixation in the fungus gardens of leaf-cutter ants. *Science* 2009;**326**:1120–3.
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree2 - approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLoS One* 2010;**5**:e9490.
- Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;**41**:D590–6.
- Rabeling C, Lino-Neto J, Cappellari SC, et al. Thelytokous parthenogenesis in the fungus-gardening ant *Mycocepurus smithii* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *PLoS One* 2009;**4**:e6781.
- Santos AV, Dillon RJ, Dillon VM, et al. Occurrence of the antibiotic producing bacterium *Burkholderia* sp. in colonies of the leaf-cutting ant *Atta sexdens rubropilosa*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2004;**239**:319–23.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, et al. Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microb* 2009;**75**:7537–41.
- Schmidt TSB, Matias Rodrigues JF, von Mering C. Limits to robustness and reproducibility in the demarcation of operational taxonomic units. *Environ Microbiol* 2014;**17**:1689–706.
- Schultz TR, Brady SG. Major evolutionary transitions in ant agriculture. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008;**105**:5435–40.
- Scott JJ, Budsberg KJ, Suen G, et al. Microbial community structure of leaf-cutter ant fungus gardens and refuse dumps. *PLoS One* 2010;**5**:e9922.
- Scott JJ, Oh D-C, Yuceer MC, et al. Bacterial protection of beetle–fungus mutualism. *Science* 2008;**322**:63.
- Seal JN, Tschinkel WR. Energetics of newly-mated queens and colony founding in the fungus-gardening ants *Cyphomyrmex rimosus* and *Trachymyrmex septentrionalis* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Physiol Entomol* 2007;**32**:8–15.
- Seal JN, Tschinkel WR. Food limitation in the fungus-gardening ant, *Trachymyrmex septentrionalis*. *Ecol Entomol* 2008;**33**:597–607.
- Seipke RF, Barke J, Brearley C, et al. A single *Streptomyces* symbiont makes multiple antifungals to support the fungus farming ant *Acromyrmex octospinosus*. *PLoS One* 2011;**6**:e22028.
- Seipke RF, Kaltenpoth M, Hutchings MI. *Streptomyces* as symbionts: an emerging and widespread theme? *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2012;**36**:862–76.
- Sen R, Ishak H, Estrada D, et al. Generalized antifungal activity and screening of *Pseudonocardia* and *Amycolatopsis* bacteria in nests of fungus-growing ants. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009;**106**:17805–10.

- Suen G, Scott JJ, Aylward FO, et al. An insect herbivore microbiome with high plant biomass-degrading capacity. *PLoS Genet* 2010;**6**:e1001129.
- Tragust S, Mitteregger B, Barone V, et al. Ants disinfect fungus-exposed brood by oral uptake and spread of their poison. *Curr Biol* 2013a;**23**:76–82.
- Tragust S, Ugelvig LV, Chapuisat M, et al. Pupal cocoons affect sanitary brood care and limit fungal infections in ant colonies. *BMC Evol Biol* 2013b;**13**:225.
- Vásquez A, Forsgren E, Fries I, et al. Symbionts as major modulators of insect health: lactic acid bacteria and honeybees. *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e33188.
- Vásquez A, Olofsson TC. The lactic acid bacteria involved in the production of bee pollen and bee bread. *J Apicult Res* 2009;**48**:189–95.
- Vo TL, Mueller UG, Mikheyev AS. Free-living fungal symbionts (Lepiotaceae) of fungus-growing ants (Attini: Formicidae). *Mycologia* 2009;**101**:206–10.

Reproduced with permission of copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.